

Committee	PTTC
Date	25/11/25
Item	6, 8, 9 & 10

REPORT	Page
<b>6. To receive reports on any significant planning decisions or issues</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>8. Budget Report</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>9. Outstanding Action Points</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>10. Transport and Traffic Working Group 2025 (TTWG)</b>	<b>4</b>

Report:	<b>6. To receive reports on any significant planning decisions or issues</b>
---------	--

**Summary:**

For Noting – Appeal Decision **APP/D380/D25/3366373 29 High Street**. The Planning Inspector allowed the applicants appeal.

**Background:**

DM/24/3046 29 High Street - Demolition of existing single storey rear/ side extension and construction of rear single storey extension. Increased cill of window at first floor to rear elevation. Reconstruction of chimney to match existing. Increase in basement footprint and associated internal and external alterations, largely as approved under DM/24/0265, with first floor infill extension and external landscaping works.

PTTC raised no objections at its 14/1/25 meeting. The application was refused by MSDC 20/3/25, considering that “...*the scale, design and form of the proposed works, specifically the proposed two-storey rear extension, they would not address the character and scale of the existing dwelling and they would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the application property and the wider Conservation Area.*”

**Current Position**

On 19/11/25 the Planning Inspectorate allowed the applicants appeal, concluding “...*that the proposed development, having regard to its overall scale, design and form, would not cause harm to the host property and the special interest of the LCA as a whole, the character and appearance of which, it follows, would be preserved. I therefore find no conflict with Policies DP26 and DP35 of the Mid Sussex District Plan 2014 – 2013 (adopted 2018), the Mid Sussex Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document SPD (adopted 2020), the Lindfield and Lindfield Rural Neighbourhood Plan 2014 – 2031 (adopted 2016) or chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework).*”

**Recommended Action**

For noting.

**David Parsons**  
Deputy Parish Clerk

20<sup>th</sup> November 2025

Committee	PTTC
Date	25/11/25
Item	6, 8, 9 & 10

Report:	<b>8. Budget Report</b>
---------	-------------------------

**Summary**

No expenditure to date, although cost of materials (est <£250) for the Transport & Traffic Working Group 2025 to be *vired* from the current years £400 RTPI budget. 2026/27 Draft Budget has been put forward to F&GP.

With the District Plan currently under (critical) scrutiny, the government's plans for increased housebuilding, and devolution proposals, it would appear more likely that the Planning Reserve may be required in the foreseeable future.

**Current Position**

Description	Cost Centre / Reserve	Budget 2025-26	Expenditure	Balance	Draft Budget 2026-27
2025-6: Real Time Passenger Information (RTPI) <sup>1</sup> 2026-7: Ancillary Costs (e.g. working group publicity)	4973	£400	£0	£400	£400
<b>sub-total Budget</b>		<b>£400</b>	<b>£0</b>	<b>£400</b>	<b>£400</b>
<b>Earmarked Reserve</b>					
Planning Reserve <sup>2</sup>	4995/335	£4,000		£4,000	£4,000
SID Replacement <sup>3, 4</sup>	4936/336	£3,500		£3,500	£3,650
<b>sub-total Reserves</b>		<b>£7,500</b>	<b>£0</b>	<b>£7,500</b>	<b>£7,650</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>£7,900</b>	<b>£0</b>	<b>£7,900</b>	<b>£8,050</b>

**Notes**

1. To meet RTPI annual maintenance charge (none levied to date) to 'vire' £xxx to cover publicity expenditure for TTWG 2025 in respect of 20mph speed limit
2. Planning Reserve – in anticipation of external costs which may be incurred (e.g. reviewing the Neighbourhood Plan, addressing unforeseen planning issues, pursuing sustainable transport initiatives)
3. To meet the anticipated cost of repairing/replacing one of the ageing early SIDs, which are now over 5 years old and outside of the manufacturers guarantee period.
4. Residual balance £150 from 2025-6 RTPI budget £400, after £250 'vire' for TTWG to be added to SID replacement reserve

**Recommended Action**

For noting.

**David Parsons**  
Deputy Parish Clerk

20<sup>th</sup> November 2025

Committee	PTTC
Date	25/11/25
Item	6, 8, 9 &10

Report:	<b>9. Outstanding Action Points</b>
---------	-------------------------------------

Minute	Meeting date	Subject	Action Agreed	By	Due Date	Status	Date Completed	Comments
352 363.1	18/03/25 8/4/25	Joint Neighbourhood Plan	Cllr Webster advised that Lindfield Rural Parish Council were unlikely to comment further in the foreseeable future and LPC would therefore need to consider its approach accordingly	tba	tba	Not Started		363.1 Committee agreed that this should remain as an outstanding item for future consideration
458	23/9/25	Village Day Toilets	letters should be sent to both the King Edward Hall and Lindfield Cricket Club encouraging them to ensure that toilet provision for Village Day was suitable, appropriately located and signposted	Deputy Parish Clerk	23/11/25	Not Started		

**Recommended Action**

1. To note the currently outstanding action points and consider any further action required.

**David Parsons, Deputy Parish Clerk**

21<sup>st</sup> November 2025

**Lindfield Parish Council**

Committee	PTTC
Date	25/11/25
Item	6, 8, 9 & 10

Report:	<b>10. Transport and Traffic Working Group 2025 (TTWG)</b>
---------	--

Verbal update to be provided.