Minutes of the **PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE** meeting held on **Tuesday 1st February 2022** in the King Edward Hall, Lindfield.

The Meeting commenced at 20:00.

Present:

- Parish Councillors: Mr C Wood (Chair see item 325) Mrs V Upton Mrs L Grace Mrs A Matthews Mr W Blunden Mr J Stevens Mr I Wilson (joined at item 6)
- Also present: Lindfield Preservation Society
- In attendance: Mr D Parsons (Deputy Parish Clerk)

325. To elect a Chair for this meeting

325.1 In the absence of the Chair or Vice Chair (see Apologies below), Cllr Blunden proposed Cllr Wood to be Chair for the meeting, this was seconded by Cllr Upton and **agreed** by those present.

326. Apologies

326.1 Apologies from Cll^{rs} Hersey, Plass and Leach were received and accepted.

327. Declarations of Interest

327.1 None.

328. Approval of Minutes

328.1 The Chair noted that the draft Minutes of the Planning and Traffic Committee meeting held on 30th November 2021 had previously been circulated and sought any members comments. Committee **agreed** that the Chair should sign the Minutes as a true record of that meeting.

329. Questions/comments from members of the public

- 329.1 None
- 330. Planning Applications and other matters referred to the Parish Council by Mid Sussex District Council (MSDC) for consideration

Appendix One shows the application details and agreed responses.

For each application, the observations of the members who had specifically studied the plans were read out before any public comments and discussion by the Committee, which are summarised below (where applicable). For applications where Lindfield Preservation Society (LPS) had no comments, or their view was 'No objection' this is not recorded.

331. To receive reports on any significant planning decisions or issues made by MSDC and the Planning Inspectorate and to agree any further action which may need to be taken before the next meeting.

331.1 **DM/21/4029 - 12 Denmans Close:** MSDC refused the application for a single storey garden room side extension noting that the proposal was neither subordinate nor proportionate to the existing property and its imposing nature would harm the neighbour's outlook. Further, that the application had not demonstrated how the Beech tree protected by a TPO would not be damaged by the works. LPC had objected on similar grounds.

- 331.2 **DM/21/4276 46 Hickmans Lane:** MSDC refused the application for a first-floor extension and loft conversion noting that the works are considered to be dominant and not well integrated nor subservient to the original dwelling, as well as likely to cause significant harm to the amenities of the neighbouring property. LPC had not objected to the proposal but were concerned as to the impact of the proposed Velux windows on the street scene.
- 331.3 **APP/D3830/D/21/3282010: Appledore Cottage, Lewes Road** (previously DM/21/0161) The Planning Inspector allowed the appeal for a new driveway and external alterations, previously refused by MSDC. LPC had raised no objections to the proposal. Note: Cllr Blunden advised that as a trustee of the Lindfield Club who owned the property next door, he had an interest in this matter and did not join any discussion around it.

Committee **noted** these developments.

332. Developer's Presentations to Council

332.1 The Deputy Parish Clerk advised that this paper was not yet completed and would be presented at a future meeting.

333. Cycleways

333.1 CIIr Grace advised that she continues to attend meetings of the SHLAT (the Scaynes Hill to Lindfield Active Travel Group) where GDPR issues have been to the fore. She advised that CIIr Salisbury (MSDC Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning) had highlighted that the ratio between likely (high) costs of such a scheme would need to be carefully weighed against its likely usage and benefits. Further, that CIIr Joy Dennis (WSCC Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport) had been invited to join the group, which continues to be well supported by local ward councillors.

Committee **noted** these developments.

334. Proposed Lindfield 7.5 Tonne Weight Restriction Committee

334.1 The Deputy Parish Clerk advised that the Council's Consultant was working to address WSCC's initial comments on their work and a more updated version would be provided in due course. It was however pleasing to note that the police had indicated that they saw no reason to object to the proposal.

Committee **noted** this update.

335. Matters Arising.

335.1 The Deputy Parish Clerk advised that the police had attended Black Hill and advised drivers on the appropriateness of their parking arrangements. Cllr Grace wondered whether some form of grasscrete might be an option to reduce damage at this location.

The meeting closed at 20.24.

The **next P&TC Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday 22nd February** at 8pm in the King Edward Hall.

Appendix One – Responses to Planning Applications received from Mid Sussex District Council

Item	MSDC Application Year	MSDC Reference	PROPERTY NAME/ NUMBER	STREET	PROPOSAL				
	•				items i - ii, responses for these items have agreed under the 0/21 and have been submitted to MSDC, as shown below.				
i	2021	4367	112	The Welkin	Proposed loft conversion and garden office. This is an application to establish whether the development is lawful. This will be a legal decision where the planning merits of the proposed use cannot be taken into account.				
-	se: As this is a we are aware		Lawful Development (Certificate, Lindfield F	Parish Council can only comment that there are no reasons for legal, valid objections				
ii	2021	3757	36	Eastern Road	First floor extension to East side Elevation. Amended proposed plans received 06.01.2022 to include single storey rear extension and alterations to proposed side extension.				
Respon	Response: Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to this application subject to the materials and finishes used matching the existing property.								
iii	2021	4096	Boarsland / 72	High Street	Proposed timber sliding driveway access gate.				
Respon	Response: Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application.								
iv	2021	4147	7	Shenstone	1xT5 Lift Crown by 1.5m to previous cut point				
Respon	Response: Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application.								
v	2022	104	Lynn Hatch / 31	High Street	(T1) Fell				
Respon	Response: Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application.								
vi	2021	4346	Linksway	Roundwood Lane	Two storey rear extension and single storey front extension				
Respon	Response: Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application, subject to matching materials being used.								
vii	2022	169	2	Woodpecker Chase	Internal remodelling, converting part of the garage, to make a larger kitchen for the family and new windows to the rear elevation. This is an application to establish whether the development is lawful. This will be a legal decision where the planning merits of the proposed use cannot be taken into account.				
Respon	se: Lindfield F	Parish Council	has no objections to th	is application, subject	t to matching materials being used.				

Appendix One – Responses to Planning Applications received from Mid Sussex District Council

Item	MSDC Application Year	MSDC Reference	PROPERTY NAME/ NUMBER	STREET	PROPOSAL
viii	2021	4359	Amberley / 51	Sunte Avenue	Two storey side/rear and single storey rear extensions and associated internal alterations.
Respon	se: Lindfield P	arish Council	has no objections to th	is application, subject	t to matching materials being used.
ix	2022	179	19	Dukes Road	Single storey side / rear extension following demolition of conservatory.
Respon	se: Lindfield P	arish Council	has no objections to th	is application.	
x	2021	4373	112	The Welkin	Proposed single storey rear extension. Amended plans received 24.01.2022 showing the proposed depth of the extension reduced.
Respon	se: Lindfield P	arish Council	has no objections to th	is application.	
xi	2022	233	Dental Surgery, West Greenbank	Hickmans Lane	1 x Hazel - Reduce to old points 1-1.5m, and thin out the new suckers from the stand.
Respon	se: Lindfield P	arish Council	has no objections to th	is application.	•
Note: V	Vhere application a	ddresses are liste			It the same description, this indicates that more than one type of planning application is required for the II application and Listed Building Consent)