

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the **PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE** held on **TUESDAY 22 JULY 2014** in the King Edward Hall, Lindfield.

The meeting commenced at **8.00 p.m.**

Present: Parish Councillors: Mr A. Gomme (Chairman)
Mrs M. Hersey (Vice Chairman)
Mr W. Blunden
Mr S. Hodgson
Mrs V. Upton
Mr M. Amor
Mr C. Snowling

Also present: Mr J. Jesson, Lindfield Preservation Society (LPS). Councillor C. Hersey (MSDC) (There were no members of the public present.)

In attendance: Mr I. McLean (Deputy Clerk).

Absent: Councillor Mrs J. Chatfield

The Chairman opened the meeting, welcomed those present, and announced the emergency procedure for the King Edward Hall.

474. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.

474.1 An apology for absence was received from Councillor Chatfield and the reason was accepted.

475. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

475.1 Councillor Hersey stated that she reserved the right to express a different opinion from that given at this meeting, when present at meetings of Mid Sussex District Council's Planning Committee B, or at any meeting which subsequently considered any matter discussed at the present meeting, having seen officers' reports and heard representations from members of the public and fellow Members.

476. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE (FULL) HELD ON 01 JULY 2014.

476.1 The Chairman called for approval of the Minutes of the Planning and Traffic Committee meeting held on 01 July 2014. It was **AGREED** to **APPROVE** the Minutes and the Chairman **SIGNED** the Minutes as a true record of that meeting.

477. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS REFERRED TO THE PARISH COUNCIL BY MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL (MSDC) FOR CONSIDERATION

477.1 For each application, the observations of the members who had specifically studied the plans were read out before any public comments and discussion by the Committee. Where necessary, it was also agreed to alter the order of the Agenda in order to cater for those persons present wishing to speak to an application.

477.2 14/02246/LDP – 23 BARNCROFT DRIVE
SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION. THIS IS AN APPLICATION TO ESTABLISH WHETHER THE DEVELOPMENT IS LAWFUL: THIS WILL BE A LEGAL DECISION WHERE THE PLANNING MERITS OF THE PROPOSED USE CANNOT BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.

AGREED RESPONSE: "As this is a request for a Lawful Development Certificate for the development, Lindfield Parish Council can only comment that there are no reasons for objection on legal, or valid

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

grounds as far as it is aware.”

- 477.3 14/02253/FUL – 49 NOAH’S ARK LANE
GARAGE CONVERSION, FIRST FLOOR EXTENSION TO EXISTING BEDROOM AND NEW PORCH.

AGREED RESPONSE: “Lindfield Parish Council notes that matching materials and finishes will be used. It therefore has no objections to this application.”

- 477.4 14/02275/LDP – 233 THE WELKIN
PROPOSED ROOM IN ROOF AND FORMATION OF REAR DORMER. THIS IS AN APPLICATION TO ESTABLISH WHETHER THE DEVELOPMENT IS LAWFUL: THIS WILL BE A LEGAL DECISION WHERE THE PLANNING MERITS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CANNOT BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.

Mr Jesson commented that what he believed had been approved as a conservatory had in fact been built as a fairly substantial extension to the rear. This was noted, but the Committee felt that it had no reason to alter the proposed response.

AGREED RESPONSE: “As this is a request for a Lawful Development Certificate for the development, Lindfield Parish Council can only comment that there are no reasons for objection on legal, or valid grounds as far as it is aware.”

- 477.5 14/02393/TCA – 57 COMPTON ROAD
FELL HORSE CHESTNUT TREE.

AGREED RESPONSE: “Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application. (The Parish Council would like to point out that there are a number of documents on the planning website portal that are clearly nothing to do with this particular application.)”

478. TO RECEIVE REPORTS ON ANY SIGNIFICANT PLANNING DECISIONS MADE BY MSDC AND THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE AND TO AGREE ANY FURTHER ACTION WHICH MAY NEED TO BE TAKEN BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING.

- 478.1 The Deputy Clerk reported that the planning application (14/01782/FUL) for the redevelopment of the garage between 52 and 53 Meadow Lane (which the Committee considered at its meeting on 1st July 2014) had been withdrawn by the applicant.

479. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- 479.1 There was none reported.

The Meeting concluded at 8.08 p.m.