

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the **PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE** meeting held on **TUESDAY 12 JULY 2016** in the King Edward Hall, Lindfield.

The meeting commenced at **8.00 p.m.**

Present:

Parish Councillors: Mr. A. Gomme (Chairman)
Mr. S Hodgson (Vice Chairman)
Mr. S Shortland
Mr. C Snowling

Also present: Mr John Jesson; Lindfield Preservation Society (LPS).

Not present: Councillors Mr. W. Blunden, Mrs. M Hersey, Mr. R. Plass and Mrs. V. Upton

In attendance: Mrs. C. Irwin (Parish Clerk).

160. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.

160.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Blunden, Hersey, Plass and Upton and the reasons were accepted.

161. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

161.1 There were no declarations of interest from Members in respect of any of the items on the Agenda.

162. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND TRAFFIC COMMITTEE (PLANS ONLY) HELD ON 21 JUNE 2016.

162.1 The Chairman called for approval of the Minutes of the Planning and Traffic Committee meeting held on 21 June 2016. It was **AGREED** to **APPROVE** the Minutes and the Chairman **SIGNED** the Minutes as a true record of that meeting.

163. PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS REFERRED TO THE PARISH COUNCIL BY MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL (MSDC) FOR CONSIDERATION

163.1 For each application, the observations of the members who had specifically studied the plans were read out before any public comments and discussion by the Committee. It was **AGREED** to change the order of the agenda, in order to group the applications in respect of The Tiger.

163.2 DM/16/2415 – 39 COMPTON ROAD
SINGLE STOREY REAR ADDITION.

Mr. Jesson commented that the extension would be visible from Hickmans Lane field, contrary to the box ticked in section 10 of the application form, and that LPS would like to see the brickwork reflecting the detail on buildings in Compton Road.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to the proposed extension but we suggest that it would be more in keeping with the character of Compton Road if the brickwork picked up the detail typical of the houses in that road".

163.3 DM/16/2522 AND DM/16/2526 (LBC) – THE TIGER HALL, HIGH STREET (TIGER CUBS PRE-SCHOOL)
1 X EXISTING HANGING SIGN REPLACED WITH NEW SIGN OF SAME SIZE IN SAME LOCATION WITH A NEW DESIGN.

Mr. Jesson stated that the design of the sign seemed appropriate.

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to the proposed hanging sign.

- 163.4 DM/16/2741 AND DM/16/2745 (LBC) – THE TIGER, 122 HIGH STREET
DEMOLITION OF THE DOUBLE GARAGE TO THE REAR OF THE BUILDING AND REPLACEMENT WITH AN EXTENSION IN THIS LOCATION, TOGETHER WITH MISCELLANEOUS REPAIRS AND MINOR CHANGES WITHIN THE EXISTING BUILDING.

Mr. Jesson commented that LPS had no problem with the plans which was subservient to the listed building, with good contrast in the detailing.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to the proposed demolition of the garage and replacement with an extension. We believe that the proposed extension meets the criteria for an extension to this Listed Building in that it is subservient and in keeping with the existing building.

- 163.5 DM/16/2559 – 226 THE WELKIN
YEW - REDUCE CROWN BY 2.5M AND LIFT CROWN TO THE HEIGHT OF THE NEAREST AND LOWEST MAIN BRANCH.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to this application.

- 163.6 DM/16/2606 – 10 BARRINGTON WOOD (MIDDLE BARRINGTON)
REMOVAL OF EXISTING CONSERVATORY AND REPLACEMENT WITH SINGLE STOREY/BASEMENT EXTENSION.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to this application. We are pleased to note that the materials to be used will match existing. This is important as the extension will be visible from Portsmouth Wood.

- 163.7 DM/16/2380 – 11 SUMMERHILL GRANGE
5 X LIME TREES - CROWN REDUCTION OF 2M AND BRANCH REDUCTION OF 2M BACK TO MAIN GROWTH POINT.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objection to this application.

- 163.8 DM/16/2634 AND DM/16/2636 (LBC) – THE MANOR HOUSE, 85 HIGH STREET
RETROSPECTIVE APPLICATION TO ERECT A REPLACEMENT/NEW REAR BOUNDARY FENCE.

Mr. Jesson stated that a photograph taken in 2008 had suggested that there had been no fence in place previously. However the owner had clarified that the previous fence had fallen into disrepair; the new fence had been agreed with the neighbours and was slightly lower than the previous one.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application.

- 163.9 DM/16/2753 – 120 THE WELKIN
CONIFER – FELL.

AGREED RESPONSE: "Lindfield Parish Council has no objections to this application.

- 164. TO RECEIVE REPORTS ON ANY SIGNIFICANT PLANNING DECISIONS OR ISSUES MADE BY MSDC AND THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE AND TO AGREE ANY FURTHER ACTION WHICH MAY NEED TO BE TAKEN BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING.**

- 164.1 DM/16/1178 - 29 Dukes Road. It was **NOTED** that the owner of the next door property had taken issue with MSDC regarding the close proximity of the extension to his house, about which the Parish Council had raised concerns when commenting on the application.

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

164.2 DM/16/1787 – Site opposite 1 Grahams Cottages, Spring Lane. It was **NOTED** that MSDC had refused permission for the revised application to erect a one-bedroom house, to which the Parish Council had raised objections.

165. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.

165.1 DM/16/1012 - Land To The East Of Gravelye Lane. In response to a question from a Member, on behalf of a resident, the Chairman clarified that individually worded letters commenting on the application were likely to be more effective than using a standard letter.

The Meeting concluded at 8.15 p.m.