

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the meeting of the **ENVIRONMENT AND AMENITIES COMMITTEE** held on **THURSDAY 4 OCTOBER 2012** at the King Edward Hall, Lindfield.

The meeting commenced at 8.00 p.m.

Present: Mr. W. Blunden (Chairman)
Mr. M. Allen
Mr. R. Pickett
Mr. R. Plass
Mrs. V. Upton

Also present: 2 members of the public

In attendance: Mrs. C. Irwin

Absent: Councillors Chatfield, Hersey, Henton and Hodgson

The Chairman welcomed those present.

171. APOLOGIES AND REASONS FOR ABSENCE.

171.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Chatfield, Hersey, Henton and Hodgson and the reasons were accepted.

172. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

172.1 None declared.

173. QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

173.1 Mr. D. Macmillan (Lindfield Preservation Society) referred to an email he had sent, which had been forwarded to Councillors, in which he had commented on the condition of lamp posts, especially those maintained by MSDC, and the bus stop poles and he hoped that the matter of refurbishment of the latter would soon be brought to a satisfactory conclusion.

173.2 Mr. W. Cawley asked whether the Registration of Lindfield Common included the Pond, which the Chairman confirmed. Mr. Cawley also asked whether the aeration pumps were temporary and why they were necessary. He considered these pumps to be an eyesore and asked whether there was a more environmentally acceptable solution. The Chairman addressed these matters at item 9 (Minute 179.1).

174. MINUTES OF THE MEETING THE ENVIRONMENT AND AMENITIES COMMITTEE HELD ON 2 AUGUST 2012

174.1 The Chairman called for approval of the Minutes of the meeting of the Environment and Amenities Committee held on 2 August 2012. These were **AGREED** and the Chairman **SIGNED** the Minutes as being a true record of that meeting.

175. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS.

175.1 Dukes Barn Court, The Chairman drew attention to the following item of concern. The Housing Association, Affinity Sutton had notified the Parish Council and residents of Dukes Barn Court of their intentions to redevelop this site as affordable housing and that they would be rehousing the affected residents. The Chairman stated that one issue that had been picked up through the recent Neighbourhood Plan consultation was that there was concern about adequate housing for the elderly. Dukes Barn Court was centrally located and was therefore an ideal place for elderly people. Affinity Sutton had indicated that Oaklee was a possible place for relocation of residents wishing to stay in Lindfield but that would reduce the

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

number of available units in Oaklee as well. It was recognised that the Parish Council was unlikely to be able to influence this decision, but the Chairman suggested that those who were concerned about this could make their views known.

- 175.2 Burgess Hill Bonfire Event. The Chairman wished to record congratulations to Burgess Hill Bonfire Society for the safe and enjoyable Bonfire and firework display which he had recently attended.

176. REPORT ON ACTION LIST.

176.1 NOTED:

- Traffic sign poles survey - Minute 138.5. A survey of the traffic sign poles had been started
- Triangle at Sunte Avenue crossroads - Minutes 141.2 and 157.2. The triangle at the top of Sunte Avenue had been cleared of rubble and barriers by the Gas Board contractors. Councillor Gomme had inspected the finger post and found no damage. WSCC had been asked for a licence for the Parish Council to take over maintenance of this area and had asked what the Council was planning to do with it. It was noted that the Council would maintain the area in its current layout but planting of some crocus bulbs would be considered. Councillor Gomme had undertaken some extra tidying up of this traffic island by pruning shrubs and brambles and cutting the long grass around the fingerpost. Members wished to record their appreciation to Councillor Gomme.
- Litter bin outside Old School Court - Minute 161.1. The Blacksmith had been asked to reinstate the litter bin outside Old School Court
- High Street bollards - Minute 167.1. Councillor Pickett reported that he had been in contact with Frankie Spurgeon at WSCC. Alison Lawler was pursuing this but the highways team was extremely busy. It was **AGREED** that another letter should be sent to Royal Mail, Haywards Heath.

177. ENVIRONMENT AND AMENITIES COMMITTEE BUDGET.

- 177.1 **NOTED:** the RFO had circulated a budget progress spreadsheet the previous day showing the expenditure to the end of September.

- 177.2 From the in-year budget of £66,050.00, £19,292.87 had been spent to 30 September 2012 and a further £8,2146.80 from the outstanding projects and reserves. It was anticipated that there would be significant expenditure from the E&A Committee's budget over the next few months, under the Christmas Lights and other headings.

178. REPORT ON CURRENT MAINTENANCE.

- 178.1 Litter bin Sunte Avenue. The Blacksmith had been asked to carry out an emergency repair on the bin attached to the pole in Sunte Avenue, in advance of the forthcoming refurbishment, as the bottom was missing.

- 178.2 Seat in Hickmans Lane. **NOTED:** during the consultation with residents required by WSCC, there had been one written objection which had later been withdrawn over the phone but the objector had not visited the Parish Office, as promised, to sign a note on his original letter to this effect. Another resident had indicated that they had no objection provided the seat faced the road and not the houses. Because the seat would be backless, attempts had been made to contact them to clarify this. After the closing date there had been a further objection in person at the Parish Office from a resident who had been away when the letter arrived. The WSCC Highways officer, Maureen Vaughey was consulting the legal department as to whether this response had to be taken into account; they would normally expect to be in a position where there were no objections at all before allowing the installation to proceed.

- 178.3 Community Highway Volunteers. **NOTED** that Frankie Spurgeon at WSCC was inviting people to put themselves forward as Community Highway Volunteers. These volunteers would be a trusted and trained network of people able to inspect Highway issues and report them to WSCC for action without having to send an officer out to inspect. Councillors Amor and Gomme had put themselves forward for training.

179. THIRD AERATOR FOR THE POND.

- 179.1 The Chairman addressed the questions asked by Mr. Cawley during the public questions/comments session:
- MSDC's pond management advisors had recommended the pumps because there was insufficient oxygen in the water for the fish and some had died as a result.
 - The Parish Council would find out from MSDC whether the pumps needed to be in operation all year round.
 - The previous pump had been difficult to maintain and as it sat on the base of the Pond it kept breaking down because of silting up.
 - The present pumps had been selected because they floated on the surface so they would not be affected by silt.

The two pumps recently installed served the middle and north end of the Pond, but the southern end of the Pond benefitted less from the movement of water and smelt more strongly. Scott Wakely at MSDC had indicated that a third aerator may be possible but it depended on the draw of extra electricity and the added resistance of additional cable. He would consult the specialists and advise the Parish Council as to whether it was achievable and provide a budget cost. It was **NOTED** that there should be enough money left in the budget for this purpose after paying the Parish Council's contribution for the two already installed. Members had mixed views about the effect of the pumps on the appearance of the Pond. It was **AGREED** to **DEFER** further consideration about the third pump until more information had been received.

Members noted that a number of residents appeared not to be aware that the fountains were in fact aerators and it was **AGREED** that an article explaining about the pumps should be published in the next newsletter with input from MSDC.

180. POTENTIAL HAYWARDS HEATH CLUSTER – DEVOLVED HIGHWAY WORKS.

- 180.1 The Clerk and the Clerk to Lindfield Rural Parish Council had attended an informal meeting on 23 August with Steve Trice, the Town Clerk at Haywards Heath, who was hoping to form a "Haywards Heath Cluster" to take over devolved highway works from WSCC. He was is looking to Lindfield, Lindfield Rural and Ardingly Parish Councils to join the scheme which would enable him to set up a dedicated team with a van.

The County Council had provided him with a breakdown of the amounts they would pay annually to each parish under 7 headings:

- non-emergency defects such as temporary filling of potholes,
- weed spraying,
- sign cleaning,
- removal of unofficial signs,
- vegetation removal (including cutting hedges),
- inspection and clearance of gullies
- removal of epicormic growth from trees.

This money would be withdrawn from the Balfour Beatty contract and paid to the Parish Councils participating in the scheme and the Parish Councils would then pay this money over to Haywards Heath Town Council with a service level agreement in place.

Burgess Hill Town Council had maintained a similar and very successful arrangement with their surrounding Parish Councils for several years and they had recently re-negotiated their contract with WSCC. The Burgess Hill contract could be used as a model on which to base negotiations between Haywards Heath and WSCC. Steve Trice was aiming for a contract to take effect from 1 April 2013.

The details of a service level agreement for delivery of the services would have to be worked out but Mr. Trice was looking for an agreement in principle from the Parish Councils to go forward with them and have further discussions with WSCC. He would arrange another meeting with Clerks, to include Chairmen in due course and he hoped to put this formally to his Council in the next month. Agreement to go forward at this stage would not be not commit the Parish Council to join the scheme.

During discussion it was considered that a local team could be expected to respond to urgent matters more quickly than WSCC. It was recognised that Haywards Heath Town Council had been a good service provider as the Parish Council's contractor for the High Street verges.

It was **AGREED** to inform Mr. Trice that the Parish Council wished to be party to the next stage of negotiations conducted by Haywards Heath Town Council.

181. STREET LIGHTING

181.1 Review of energy supplier. Following the upgrade of street lighting columns, DTS had arranged for an energy consultant from Energy Cost Advisors Group (ECA) to negotiate a better deal on electricity. Comparison summaries had been received and ECA with the support of DTS had recommended the 37 month offer from E.on. The offer was only valid on the day it was issued. Provided that there was no significant variation, it was **AGREED** to authorise the Clerk to make the necessary arrangements through ECA.

181.2 Light column in the car park on the Common opposite Flowercraft. Councillor Allen declared a personal interest in this item as the light concerned was close to his property. This light had never been listed on the Parish Council's inventory, although MSDC had stated that all their lights had been devolved to the Parishes in the 1980s except those in paid car parks and leisure complexes. The light was not WSCC's inventory either. The light had been faulty for about two years and attempts had been made to discover which authority was responsible for it. MSDC had eventually arranged to repair it on this occasion but were not willing to maintain it in the long term. To include it on the Parish Council's inventory would add £16,54 a year to the maintenance contract and there was a likelihood that it would require upgrading, which would mean replacing the lantern with an Iffley, at around £1,000 to install.

It was **AGREED** that this light should be added to the Parish Council's inventory and Members accepted the additional cost that may be incurred.

182. CHRISTMAS FESTIVAL NIGHT WORKING PARTY

182.1 Notes of meeting of the Christmas Festival Night Working Party held on 20 September 2012. **NOTED:**

- The Deputy Clerk had applied to MSDC for the road closure and written to Mid Downs Radio and CERT etc.
- Letters would be going out to stall holders in the near future.
- MSDC had agreed to lend their road closure barriers and it was understood that they could also lend 'road closed' and 'road closed ahead' signs as well as some cones if needed. It was **AGREED** that MSDC should be asked how many signs they could lend and the Clerk was authorised, in consultation with members of the Working Party to purchase any additional signs considered to be needed.

The Chairman pointed out that assistance from Members was needed on the day and during the event and that the work should not be left to the staff. It was suggested that a sign-up roster should be circulated by email.

183. BUS STOP POLES.

183.1 A revised quotation from the Blacksmith had been received the previous day together with scale drawings of his design to reinforce the bus stop poles after removal of the bins. Two options had been given: a simple larger diameter post added to the bottom third of the pole and a similar version with the addition of two supports with ornamental scroll tops by way of enhancement. **NOTED** that in both cases the shot blast treatment, spraying, powder coating and refitting need to be added to the prices.

For version 1 for all seven poles this would be within budget of £2,500.00 and over budget for version 2, but a combination could be considered. The difference could be met from the money remaining under the litter bin heading.

Councillors Gomme and Chatfield had submitted a report to the meeting of the Committee on 12 April, with a recommendation to move some of the poles round. It was considered that some clarification was required before a final decision could be taken, but it was **AGREED** to accept the quotation in principle and to refer the decision on the details to Full Council, pending further research.

184. REQUEST FROM THE LINDFIELD BOWLING CLUB TO MSDC FOR A PEDESTRIAN YELLOW HATCHED AREA LEADING TO THE STEPS IN THE BOWLS CLUB CAR PARK.

184.1 The Lindfield Bowling Club had requested a hatched area to prevent cars parking near the steps because many of the club members, particularly the elderly, found it very difficult to squeeze through to the steps. MSDC had been asked if it would be possible to put a hatched “no parking” area in front of the steps just wide enough for members to walk between the cars with their equipment carriers. An alternative to hatching had been suggested to MSDC by the Bowling Club Secretary: moving the disabled parking bays to the area in front of the steps.

During discussion there was some concern about the loss of a parking space as the car park was heavily used by parents of children at Lindfield Primary School. It was however noted that the School was encouraging parents to use the car park near to the tennis courts instead to relieve the problem of parked cars in Backwoods Lane. On balance Members felt that the request from the Bowling Club was reasonable and it was **AGREED** to inform MSDC that there would be no objection to hatching.

185. STREET MAP.

185.1 **NOTED:** that the Clerk and Councillor Gomme had that morning had a useful meeting with Mark Woolmer whose company, Fitzpatrick Woolmer, was designing and making the street maps for the car parks. He had been provided with sketches to decorate the map, kindly donated by Merie Blundell who would be acknowledged, and information to add to the map which should enable progress to be made.

186. RISK MANAGEMENT.

186.1 **NOTED:** that now the warding scheme had been discontinued, arrangements needed to be made to inspect Parish owned street furniture on a regular basis so that there was a record of this being done.

187. HIGH STREET BOLLARDS.

187.1 Councillor Pickett had reported under the Action List item, Minute 176.1. He added that he would make further contact with the WSCC officer, Miss Spurgeon.

188. WINTER MANAGEMENT

188.1 Grit bins. **AGREED** that in addition to the grit bins already to be purchased, further bins should be ordered for a number of locations subject to landowners' or WSCC Highways Department's permission. Some of these bins could be smaller than the existing ones.

188.2 Hi vis vests . **AGREED** to purchase twelve hi vis vests with Lindfield Parish Council printed on the back for use by snow clearing teams but which would be useful for other activities. The cost of these would be £152.40 plus VAT.

188.3 Salt spreader. The existing salt spreader was very effective but the Chairman pointed out that with a second one, more pavements could be treated. It was **AGREED** to purchase a second salt spreader of the same model as the existing one which cost £794.11 at the time it was purchased.

189. REQUEST FOR DROPPED KERBS

- 189.1 The request for dropped kerbs had come from a resident of Sunte Avenue who had recently become a mobility scooter user and was having difficulty getting up and down pavements in a number of places
- from Sunte Avenue – to cross Hickmans Lane
 - Dukes Road – to cross cul-de-sac and The Wilderness
 - from West View – to cross Lewes Road
 - Lewes Road – to cross Noahs Ark Lane

A reply from Highways on costings was still awaited, but on the basis that there was a history of the Parish Council funding dropped kerbs as a joint project with WSCC, it was **AGREED** to support this project in principle and to budget accordingly.

190. HICKMANS LANE PLAYGROUND: TO CONSIDER JOINT PROJECT WITH MSDC TO UPGRADE CHILDREN'S PLAY EQUIPMENT.

- 190.1 From the inspection report provided by MSDC, it was **NOTED** that, although there was no equipment that needed replacing because of its condition, this playground scored low for play value under the sub heading Intellectual and Creative Stimulation and under three of the other sub headings in this section there was room for improvement. Rupert Browning had indicated at the last Liaison Meeting that he was interested in doing more work to improve this playground as a possible joint project. It was **AGREED** that the Parish Council should discuss this further as a joint project with MSDC and budget accordingly.

191. LINDFIELD COMMON AND HICKMANS LANE PLAYING FIELD: TO CONSIDER MAKING AN APPROACH TO MSDC ABOUT SECURING THE SITES FROM UNAUTHORISED VEHICLES.

- 191.1 A recent incident whereby unauthorised vehicles had occupied Hickmans Lane playing field had caused great concern among residents and members of the Parish and District Councils. MSDC had been asked for their views about the Parish Council funding or part-funding the installation of posts placed at such intervals as to prevent access by vehicles. Rupert Browning had replied that MSDC's landscape contractors would prefer to maintain a bund rather than strim around a large number of posts and in long term this would be cheaper for MSDC. Mr. Browning had also suggested a height restrictor (painted green) at the entrance to the car park which would eliminate the need for any barriers around the car parks close to the pitch. A new style of height restrictor was to be trialled at a site in Burgess Hill which was expected to obviate the need for vulnerable padlocks. Mr. Browning was suggesting a grass bund along the top of the bank on Hickmans Lane (above the croci) similar to the one at Whiteman's Green. He believed this should prevent any vehicles other than those with four wheel drive from getting over it.

Members had doubts that the proposed bund would be effective, particularly as there had been unauthorised occupation of Whitemans Green with a bund in place, and they considered that a bund might interfere with drainage. However it was pointed out that care should be taken not to create an obtrusive feature.

It was **AGREED** to get costings for rustic posts at six feet intervals for further consideration and discussion with MSDC.

192. ALLOTMENTS: TO CONSIDER REPLACEMENT OF FENCE ALONG BOUNDARY WITH ALLEN'S WALL.

- 192.1 Councillor Blunden declared a personal interest in this item as he was an allotment plot holder and Councillors Allen and Pickett also declared personal interests as their wives were plot holders.

- 192.2 It was **NOTED** that the existing chestnut fencing was broken in several places and that the boundary line was not clearly defined. The boundary needed to be secured with a fence and the existing concrete posts would be retained as evidence of the boundary. Chain link fencing was suggested instead of chestnut. Members agreed that the boundary should be secured and delineated and it was **AGREED** that provision should be made in the budget for the following financial year..

193. BUDGET 2013 – 14: TO DISCUSS THE ENVIRONMENT AND AMENITIES COMMITTEE'S BUDGET REQUIREMENTS FOR 2013 / 14 .

- 193.1 Members had received a spreadsheet showing the amounts spent from the E&A budget to date, the anticipated spend at the end of the financial year, the variance and the proposed figures for the 2013/14 budget. The estimated spend at the end of the financial year was approximately £60,000.00 from a budget of £66,050.00. The budget headings for the 2012/13 financial year were discussed in turn and the proposed figures to go forward as a recommendation to F&GP for the draft budget were **AGREED**.
- 193.2 During discussion Members wished to record their appreciation for the late Marjorie Butt, who had for many years volunteered to tend the strip of garden in the Tollgate. It was **AGREED** to publish a short paragraph of appreciation in the next newsletter.
- 193.3 It was **AGREED** to request from the Diamond Jubilee Committee a statements of accounts and how it was intended to spend any surplus.
- 193.4 Members also considered possible new projects for 2013/14 and agreed sums to be allocated provisionally to these projects in the budget:
- Playground in Hickmans Lane (upgrade of children's equipment - possible joint project with MSDC) - £5,000
 - Posts around MSDC's open spaces in Lindfield to deter unauthorised access(possible joint project with MSDC) - £5,000
 - Dropped kerbs (possible joint project with WSCC) - £2,500
 - Replacement of the floating islands in the Pond (possible joint project with MSDC) - £1,000
- 193.5 It was **NOTED** that there would be a further opportunity to discuss the budget before the final draft was drawn up. The spreadsheet showing the agreed first draft is appended to these Minutes at Appendix A.

194. ANY OTHER BUSINESS, ARISING AFTER THE PREPARATION OF THIS AGENDA, WHICH THE CHAIRMAN AGREES TO TAKE AS URGENT. ANY SUCH MATTERS WILL BE FOR NOTING OR REFERRAL TO A FUTURE MEETING ONLY.

- 194.1 Trees on the Common. Rupert Browning at MSDC had asked for the Parish Council's views on their proposal to remove a poplar tree near the playground on the Common. Members were also asked to note that work on trees around the Common was to start later that week or early the following week: removal of dead poplars in West View and crown lifting of trees around the Common to clear footpaths. Work on trees around Old School Court was also to be done to keep branches clear of properties.

Photographs of the poplar were tabled. MSDC officers considered this tree to be out of place on the Common; it was leaning, was reported to be restricting the oak next to it and there was some lifting around the base. The Chairman drew attention to the recent tragedy at Kew when a visitor was killed by a tree and pointed out that poplars were known to shed boughs. It was also noted that his tree was close to the playground.

During discussion, Members expressed differing views about the removal of the poplar: on the one hand, a number of Members considered that if the tree was considered to be a risk to safety, its removal should be supported, however regrettable that may be. The Council should be wary of opposing felling the tree if it's removal had been advised on safety grounds, particularly as there had been more strong winds in recent years, adding to the risk of falling boughs. On the other hand others believed that the removal of a mature healthy and attractive tree should be resisted. There was some, but not unanimous, support for retaining and trimming the tree.

Councillor Allen, speaking as a Tree Warden, opposed the felling of this tree and stated that the case for its removal was not proven; the tree was a lovely specimen, it had rarity value and created shade in the summer; there was no history of falling boughs from this tree; it did not appear to be crowding nearby trees,

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

10 and 15 metres away; he believed that it had leaned at this angle for many years and there did not appear to be any pavement heave as alleged, just a certain amount of normal heave on the ground surrounding the tree. He suggested that the tree could instead be reduced by removal of some branches.

It was **AGREED** to inform Mr. Browning that Members were not able to reach a consensus on this matter but to relay the comments made.

The meeting ended at 9.30 p.m.

LINDFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

E&A 04.10.12 Minute 193 - Appendix A

	BUDGET 2012/2013	Spend to 31.08.12.	Anticipated spend to 31.03.13.	Variance	DRAFT BUDGET 2013/2014
1 Street Lighting -					
Energy/maintenance costs	6,000.00	1,899.15	3,050.00	2,950.00	3,500.00
- Upgrading Street lights	5,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	-	6,000.00
- Christmas Lights	9,000.00	763.00	11,550.00	- 2,550.00	2,000.00
2 Maintenance	5,000.00	300.25		5,000.00	5,000.00
3 Allotments	2,500.00	1,256.49	2,500.00	-	2,500.00
4 Gardening	500.00	8.25	500.00	-	500.00
5 Christmas Festival Night	1,000.00	-	1,000.00	-	1,000.00
6 Village Archives	500.00	-	300.00	200.00	300.00
7 Mobile Civic Amenity Freighter Denmans Lane toilets - running costs	2,000.00	-	2,000.00	-	2,000.00
8 costs	12,000.00	8,178.58	12,000.00	-	12,000.00
9 Street map of Lindfield	5,000.00	-	5,000.00	-	5,000.00
10 Replacement of litter bins	2,000.00	-	2,000.00	-	2,000.00
11 Digital mapping	250.00	200.00	200.00	50.00	250.00
Joint Project with MSDC/WSCC - Pond and rail fencing - Pond and					
12 Common	2,000.00	-	2,000.00	-	2,000.00
13 Tree Pollarding	2,500.00	-	2,500.00	-	2,500.00
14 Removal of epicormic growth	600.00	280.00	280.00	320.00	600.00
15 Grass cutting (High Street)	1,700.00	-	1,700.00	-	2,000.00
16 Emergency Equipment	1,500.00	-	1,500.00	-	1,500.00
HM The Queen's Diamond Jubilee Celebrations	1,500.00	1,407.15	1,407.15	92.85	-
18 Bus Stop Poles refurbishment	2,500.00	-	2,500.00	-	-
19 Pond Aerator	3,000.00	-	3,000.00	-	-
Sub total	66,050.00	19,292.87	59,987.15	6,062.85	50,650.00
New for 2013/2014:					
Playground in Hickmans Lane - possible joint project with MSDC					5,000.00
Posts around MSDC open spaces to deter unauthorised vehicles - possible joint project with MSDC					5,000.00
Dropped kerbs - possible joint project with WSCC					2,500.00
Replacment of floating islands on Pond - possible joint project with MSDC					1,000.00
Total:					£ 64,150.00
Outstanding Projects					
Street map of Lindfield	2,922.52	-		2,922.52	
Christmas Lights	3,500.00	3,500.00	3,500.00	-	
HM The Queen's Diamond Jubilee Celebrations	650.00	650.00	650.00	-	
Total	7,072.52	4,150.00	4,150.00	2,922.52	
Designated Reserves					
Street Lighting	8,605.88	1,200.80	1,200.80	7,405.08	
Allotments	500.00	-		500.00	
Denmans Lane toilets - maintenance sinking fund	5,000.00	-		5,000.00	
Repair/maintenance of community assets - sinking fund	3,000.00	-		3,000.00	
Maintenance of King Edward Hall clock	900.00	-		900.00	
Christmas Lights	2,100.00	-		2,100.00	
Replacement of Litter Bins	5,727.00	2,896.00	2,896.00	2,831.00	
Total	25,832.88	4,096.80	4,096.80	21,736.08	
Total: Outstanding Projects and Reserves	32,905.40	8,246.80	8,246.80	24,658.60	24,658.60